At a term of the Family Court of the State of New York, held in and for the County of Broome at Binghamton, New York on May 7, 2009

## HON, SPERO PINES FAMILY COURT JUDGE

In the Matter of

Anderson County Tennessee,

(Apparent Assignee),

o/b/o Mary A Phillips-Jarnigan

(Apparent Assignor)

Petitioner,

**DECISION & ORDER** 

٧.

Docket No.: F-1664-09/09B

Family File #: 29852

Anthony Laine,

Respondent.

NOTICE: YOUR WILLFUL FAILURE TO OBEY THIS ORDER MAY, AFTER COURT HEARING, RESULT IN YOUR CONFINEMENT TO JAIL FOR A PERIOD OF UP TO SIX MONTHS FOR CONTEMPT OF COURT.

NOTICE: PURSUANT TO §1113 OF THE FAMILY COURT ACT, AN APPEAL MUST BE TAKEN WITHIN THIRTY DAYS OF RECEIPT OF A FINAL ORDER BY THE APPELLANT IN COURT, THIRTY FIVE DAYS FROM THE MAILING OF THE ORDER TO THE APPELLANT BY THE CLERK OF THE COURT, OR THIRTY DAYS AFTER SERVICE BY A PARTY OR LAW GUARDIAN UPON THE APPELLANT, WHICHEVER IS EARLIEST.

The State of Tennessee, by and through its apparently designated IV-D agent for the 7<sup>th</sup> Judicial District of that state, filed written objections with this court on April 22, 2009, challenging the order issued by Support Magistrate Eileen M. Kane which was entered on April 9, 2009. Said order provided that "the Registered Order is vacated." Respondent Anthony Laine filed no response to the objections and, under the circumstances, none is

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>The court presumes that the Support Magistrate intended to vacate the Registration, and not the certified order of another state which was included with the petition for registration

circumstances, none is required.

Specifically, the objector states that the registration was vacated without any notice

to them or any opportunity to be heard. It does appear, from the file and the order in

question, that Mr. Laine's ex parte letter to the court was treated as a "Petition to Vacate

the Registration." However, if the court chose to treat the filing as such, then it should have

been copied to both parties.

Further, it appears that a hearing must be conducted. Family Court Act §580-606

(UIFSA) states that a nonregistering party may contest the validity of the order by

requesting a hearing within twenty days of the notice of registration. Although Mr. Laine

did not specifically request a hearing, it appears clear that both the statute and

considerations of due process would require a hearing on the matter. It appears that his

request to vacate the registration was granted without notice to the registering party or an

opportunity for the registering party to be heard. Further, Family Court Act §580-607

states that when a party contests the validity of an order to be registered, the burden of

proof is on the party who contests the order. Proof requires evidence and testimony, as

discussed in Family Court Act §580-606.

For the foregoing reasons, it is hereby

**ORDERED**, that the written objections are granted in part; it is further

ORDERED, that the matter shall be remanded to the Support Magistrate for a

hearing on the issue, on notice to all parties.

Dated: May / 2

**ENTER** 

Hop. Spero Pines

Family Court Judge

Page 2 of 3

COURT CLERK ON 1Y 0		ITERED IN	THE OFFICE OF THE 2009	E BROOME COUNTY FAMILY
THE DISTRIBUTION OF THIS Upon:	/			
Anderson County Tennessee,	by mail	☐ in court	□ personal service	□courier box
Anthony Laine,	🗹 by mail	☐ in court	☐ personal service	□courier box
Carol A. Guthrie, Esq.,	☑ by mail	☐ in court	☐ personal service	□courier box
SM Eileen M. Kane	☐ by mail	☐ in court	☐ personal service	☐courier box
SCU			☐ personal service	
8y: _ K =				
Broome County Family Cou	rt Clerk's O	ffice		

<sup>\*</sup>Service date and entry date are the same unless otherwise indicated.